

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignin 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/19/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/800,520	03/08/2001	Hideo Iba	423-59	5027	
75	90 06/19/2003				
Nixon & Vanderhye P.C.			EXAMINER		
1100 N. Glebe Road, 8th Floor Arlington, VA 22201-4714			LEFFERS JR,	LEFFERS JR, GERALD G	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1636	18	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/800,520	IBA ET AL.				
,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gerald G Leffers Jr.	1636 ·				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 29 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) 🔲 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>34-39</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
5. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/800,520

Art Unit: 1636

Advisory Action Attachment

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the proposed limitation linking the coding sequence and the destabilizing sequence requires a new search of the claims, as do the new limitations in claims 35, 39 and the new claims.

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: arguments directed towards the proposed amendment of the claims are moot because the amendment has not been entered. Arguments that there is no motivation to combine the references used in the obviousness rejections are not persuasive because the primary reference explicity suggests the use of any reporter known in the art which can be easily assayed and specifically recites two of the embodiments that are claimed by applicants. The argument that there is no particular reason to choose the ones listed by Pavlakis et al as being embraced by their invention (e.g. neomycin) is not persuasive because Pavlakis et al do explicitly cite those embodiments as falling within the scope of their invention. With regard to the argument that Pavlakis et al never reduce the embodiments recited herein to practice in the form of an expression vector comprising a short-lived mRNA drug resistance gene, this argument is not persuasive in that Pavlakis et al merely have to be enabling at the time of filing of the instant application, in view of the art available at the time of filing, for the 103 rejection made herein to be valid. If Pavlakis et al actually exemplified the claimed invention the rejection would necessarily have been made under 35 USC 102 instead.

Application/Control Number: 09/800,520

Art Unit: 1636

Conclusion

No claims are allowable. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gerald G Leffers Jr. whose telephone number is (703) 308-6232. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Remy Yucel can be reached on (703) 305-1998. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7939 for regular communications and (703) 305-7939 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Gerald G Leffers Jr.

Examiner Art Unit 1636

Ggl June 18, 2003